

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,664	02/25/2004	Dale A. Christensen	V-04-4	2977	
31083	7590 05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL, L.L.C.			KIM, CHRIS	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
2120 S. 72NI OMAHA, N	D STREET, SUITE 1111 E 68124	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,		•	3752		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\( \rangle \)</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/786.664	CHRISTENSEN ET	٨١		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			AL.		
Before the Filmig of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
1	Christopher S. Kim	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Network (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	•	e final rejection, whicheve	eris later In no		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be sent filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	7 77		(DTOL 204)		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	,	•		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 
☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3752 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claimed invention by deleting limitations and presenting a new limitation.